UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/990,500	11/21/2001	John L. Wasula	79564/PCW	3356	
	7590 11/29/201 DDAK COMPANY	1	EXAMINER		
PATENT LEGAL STAFF			DANIELS, ANTHONY J		
343 STATE STREET ROCHESTER, NY 14650-2201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2622		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/29/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	09/990,500	WASULA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANTHONY J. DANIELS	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Se	eptember 2011.					
3) An election was made by the applicant in response		set forth during the	e interview on			
	the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.					
<u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
5) Claim(s) <u>21-25,27,28,31 and 32</u> is/are pending	in the application.					
5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) <u>21-25,27,28,31 and 32</u> is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Application Papers						
10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C. 8 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	'					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
. apor 10 (0)/11an Dato	-/					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment, filed 9/13/2011, has been entered and made of record. Claims 21-25,27,28,31 and 32 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,500

Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

1. Claims 21-24,27,28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomat et al. (US 2004/0179115) in view of Safai (US # 6,167,469).

As to claim **21**, Tomat et al. teaches a method for transferring customized image files stored in a memory of a digital camera (Figure 3, camera memory "36"; [0095], Lines 10-15) to an external device (Figure 1, computer system "1") having an external device database ([0084], [0102] and [0103]), comprising the steps of:

- (a) transferring a plurality of image files from the memory to the external device (Figure 4, "S409");
 - (b) accessing a set of image utilization fields (Figure 13, "fields" 126-136);
 - (c) modifying each transferred image file in accordance with the set of image utilization fields ([0129]-[0133]);
- (d) storing the modified transferred image file in the external device along with the set of image utilization fields in the external device for subsequent use ([0131]); wherein one of the set of image utilization fields is a resolution field and wherein transferred image files are modified responsive to the resolution field (Figure 13, "133"; [0130], last 4 lines).

The claim differs from in that the transfer is performed using a camera database having at least one customizable profile containing a set of image utilization fields.

In the same field of endeavor, Safai teaches a digital camera which transfers images files from a camera memory to an external device. The transfer is performed in accordance with "image utilization fields" stored on the camera (Figure 4F). In light of the teaching of Safai, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the Canon PowerTools

application of Tomat et al. to edit image files with utilization fields stored in the camera (*Note Tomat et al. suggests using the computer system "1" to control the digital camera at least by the delete files "field" "135"*), because an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that this would provide the user with the ability to transfer images to a device different than that which was used to create the Custom Save and Transfer Options at his/her own convenience.

As to claim 22, Tomat et al., as modified by Safai, teaches the method according to claim 21 wherein the set of image utilization fields is stored on the external device (see Tomat et al., Figure 13; [0084]).

As to claim 23, Tomat et al., as modified by Safai, teaches the method according to claim 21 further including the step of editing the customizable profile in the external device (see Tomat et al., Figure 13).

As to claim 24, Tomat et al., as modified by Safai, teaches the method according to claim 21 wherein the image utilization fields include a deletion field and further including the step of deleting the transferred image files from the memory in accordance with the deletion field after storage of such image in the external device (see Tomat et al., Figure 13, delete files "field" 135).

As to claim **27**, Tomat et al., as modified by Safai, teaches a computer program product comprising a computer storage medium storing a computer program having instructions therein for causing the external device to perform the method of claim 21 (see Tomat et al., [0084]).

As to claim 28, the limitations of claim 27 can be found in claim 21 (a). Therefore, claim 27 is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 21.

Art Unit: 2622

As to claim 31, Tomat et al., as modified by Safai, teaches the method of claim 21 wherein the set of utilization fields include a filename suffix or filename prefix appended to the camera filenames (see Tomat et al., Figure 13, "134"; [0131]).

As to claim 32, Tomat et al., as modified by Safai, teaches the method of claim 21 wherein the external device is a network service provider (see Safai, Col. 6, Lines 5-19).

2. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomat et al. (US 2004/0179115) in view of Safai (US # 6,167,469) and further in view of Roberts et al. (US # 6,496,222).

As to claim 25, Tomat et al., as modified by Safai, teaches a method according to claim 21. The claim differs from Tomat et al., as modified by Safai, in that it requires the image utilization files include an image editing preference application software field designating a software application stored in the external device and further including the step of applying the designated user preferred application software to the modified transferred captured image.

In the same field of endeavor, Roberts et al. teaches an image utilization field which includes an image editing preference application software field designating a software application stored in the external device and further including the step of applying the designated user preferred application software to the modified transferred captured image (see Figure 14A, "APPLE V1", "IBM V2"; Col. 12, Lines 16-35). In light of the teaching of Roberts et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include an image preference application software field in the image utilization fields of Tomat et al., because an artisan of

ordinary skill in the art would recognize that this would prevent erroneous image transfer due to incompatibility with the software application program (see Roberts et al., Col. 12, Lines 37-42).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANTHONY J. DANIELS whose telephone number is (571)272-7362. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571) 272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/990,500 Page 7

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ANTHONY J DANIELS/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622

11/28/2011